### Sacramento County Department of Health Services HIV Health Services Planning Council Priorities and Allocations Committee

www.sacramento-tga.com

### **Meeting Agenda\***

September 6, 2023, 9:00 AM - 11:00 AM

### **Meeting Location:**

4600 Broadway, Sacramento, CA 95820 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference/Community Room 2020

Facilitator: Jake Bradley-Rowe, Committee Chair

**Scribe:** Angelina Olweny – Council Staff

### **Meeting Invitees:**

• Priorities and Allocations Committee Members

• Open to the Public

Topic	Presenter	Start Time	Length			
Welcome and Introductions	Bradley-Rowe	9:00 AM				
Announcements	All					
Public Comments –Agenda Items	All					
September Agenda Review*	Bradley-Rowe					
August 2023 Minutes Review*	Bradley-Rowe	As Needed				
Conflict of Interest	Bradley-Rowe	AS Needed	As Needed			
FY24 Allocations*	Caravella					
Technical Assistance	Bradley-Rowe					
Public Comment – Non-Agenda Items	Bradley-Rowe					
Adjourn	Bradley-Rowe	11:00 AM				

<sup>\*</sup>Action Items

Attachments:

### Sacramento County Department of Health Services HIV Health Services Planning Council Priorities and Allocations Committee

www.sacramento-tga.com

- Minutes of August, 2023\*
- Conflict of Interest Breakdown
- PAC Reference Manual Updated 5.22.23
- FY20-22 Cost Per Client Per Service
- FY20-22 Utilization by County

**NEXT MEETING:** January 3, 2024

### HIV HEALTH SERVICES PLANNING COUNCIL – Priorities and Allocation Committee (PAC)

### **Meeting Minutes**

August 9, 2023, 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.

**Meeting Location:** 

4600 Broadway Sacramento

**Facilitator**: Jake Bradley-Rowe, Chair

**Council Staff**: Angelina Olweny

Committee Member Attendees: Chelle Gossett, Jake Bradley-Rowe, Keisha Lynch, Lenore Gotelli, Liane

Bruckstein, Mellissa Willett, Richard Benavidez, Tami Emslie

County Staff: Danielle Caravella

Members Excused: Dennis Poupart, Zach Basler

Members Absent: Josh Kooman

Guests: Clarmundo Sullivan, Tracy Thomas

Topic	Minutes
Welcome, Introductions and, Announcements	Meeting and introductions started at 9:03 AM  NorCal Aids Cycle will have a Check Distribution Celebration for beneficiaries of the proceeds from the 2023 NorCal AIDSs Cycle on August 30th from 7-9PM at Mulvaney's B&L Sunburst Healing Waters was a successful event for youth.
Public Comments-	N/A
Agenda Items	
Agenda Review*	The August agenda was presented for review and approval. Richard motioned to accept the agenda as presented and Melissa Willett seconded the motion.
	Accept: Chelle Gossett, Jake Bradley-Rowe, Liane Bruckstein, Melissa Willett, Richard Benavidez, Tamie Emslie.  Oppose: N/A Abstain: N/A
Minutes Review*	June Minutes were presented for review and approval. Melissa Willett motioned to accept the minutes as presented with a second from Richard Benavidez.
	Accept: Chelle Gossett, Jake Bradley-Rowe, Liane Bruckstein, Melissa Willett, Richard Benavidez, Tamie Emslie.  Oppose: N/A Abstain: N/A
Conflict of Interest	Committee members were reminded of their Conflicts of Interests as stated in the Conflict of Interest Document.
FY22 Carryover*	There is \$180,179 Carryover available for request. The committee discussed how to distribute the available funding. Of the overall award for the fiscal year, 75% of funding is required to cover core services and 25% for non-core support services.
	Melissa Willett requested \$ 10,000 for Medical Case Management for El Dorado and Placer

Topic	Minutes
	County and \$10,000 for Emergency Financial Assistance. She stated that the Rural Counties are in a Medical desert and consumers need transportation services to access care.
	The Medi-Cal COVID waiver program is no longer available for clients which makes some clients ineligible for Medi-Cal. Organizations are contacting Medi-Cal to ensure that clients can be re-enrolled into Medi-Cal after the end of the waiver program. \$15,000 of Carryover funds was requested to be allocated to the Health Insurance Premiums category because of many patients losing their Medi-Cal and needing health insurance premium assistance. \$75,179 was requested for Medical Case Management Services. Case managers have high acuity caseloads because more clients are seeking services. Mental Health was also identified as a critical need but ultimately was not considered for additional funding. \$40,000 was requested for Non-Medical Case Management (Benefits Counseling) as multiple providers could use the additional funding as there has been an increase in caseload. \$3,034 was requested for the Minority AIDS Initiative Medical Case Management Program. Funding for this program is separate from other funding and cannot be designated to any other category than Minority AIDS Initiative.
	\$20,000 of Carryover funding was allocated for Medical Nutritional Therapy. Registered Nutritionists provide nutritional services. One Community Health identified this as a need in their organization. The funding for this category for FY23 was reduced from prior years as it wasn't being as utilized. The agency that provides this service has secured sufficient staffing and could use additional funds.
	Carryover funding has to be approved by HRSA. The Planning Council will vote on the recommendations from PAC regarding the carryover allocations.
	The recommendations for carryover allocations discussed above were motioned to be approved by Liane Bruckstein with a second from Lenore Gotelli. Members voted on each service category with funding requested individually. The motion passed with a majority.
	Please see attached vote sheet for details.

Topic	Minutes
Technical Assistance	For technical assistance reach out to Richard Benavidez.
Public Comment Non-agenda items	Chelle Gossett discussed the timeline for the FY23 reallocations. There was a general consensus among attendees to wait until October to determine the reallocation as it will give agencies a better idea of where they stand financially.
Adjournment	10: 40 AM

	Service Category		FΥ	/23 Part A A	llocations	FY	23 Part A A with Carry		CI	nelle Gossett		Jake	Bradley	Rowe	К	eshia Lyı	nch
FY23 Priority		Core Service		Amount	Percent of Direct Service Dollars	-	Amount	Percent of Direct Service Dollars	Approve	Oppose A	Abstain	Approve	Oppose	Abstain	Approve	Oppose	Abstain
	EL DORADO COUNTY		\$	196,615	6.7%	\$	10,000	#DIV/0!				х			х		
	Ambulatory Care	Υ	\$	1,568	0.1%			#DIV/0!									<u> </u>
	Oral Health	Y Y	\$	5,285	0.2%			#DIV/0!									-
	Health Insurance Medical Case Management	Y	\$	1,955 133,642	0.1% 4.5%	\$	10,000	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!							1		+
	Medical Transportation	T T	\$	9,284	0.3%	Ф	10,000	#DIV/0!									-
	Mental Health Services	Υ	\$	14,131	0.5%			#DI V/O:									†
	Emergency Financial Assistance		\$	30,750	1.0%			#DIV/0!									1
	PLACER COUNTY		\$	199,655	6.8%	\$	20,000	#DIV/0!				х			x		
	Ambulatory Care	Υ	\$	1,540	0.1%			#DIV/0!									
	Oral Health	Υ	\$	2,530	0.1%			#DIV/0!									
	Health Insurance	Υ	\$	115	0.0%			#DIV/0!									ļ
	Medical Case Management	Υ	\$	137,654	4.7%	\$	10,000	#DIV/0!									4
	Medical Transportation  Mental Health Services	Y	\$	20,891 6,925	0.7% 0.2%			#DIV/0!							1		+
	Emergency Financial Assistance		\$	30,000	1.0%	4	10,000	#DIV/0!									+
	YOLO COUNTY		Φ	30,000	PART E			# D1 V/U:									+
				#0 FF 4 005				#DIV (0)									<b>T</b>
	(Sacramento County Breakdown ONLY)			\$2,554,238	86.6%		\$150,179	#DIV/0!									
1	Ambulatory/Outpatient Medical	Υ	\$	465.341	15.8%	\$	-	#DIV/0!				1			1		
-	Care					-									1		-
	1.a.Ambulatory Care 1.b.Viral Load/ Resistance Testing	Y	\$	405,903 59,438	13.8% 2.0%			#DIV/0! #DIV/0!		<del>                                     </del>				1			+
2	AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance	Y	Φ	37,436	2.076			#DIV/0:									+
3	Health Insurance Premiums	Y	\$	7,154	0.2%	\$	15,000	#DIV/0!									<del>.</del>
4	Oral Health Care	Y	\$	275,801	9.3%	J.	15,000	#DIV/0!				X					*
-						_	75 470										1
	Medical Case Management Services	Υ	\$	852,151	28.9%	\$	75,179	#DIV/0!	х					х			x
	5.a. Child Care Medical Case Management	Υ	\$	21,612	0.7%			#DIV/0!									
	5.b. Office-based Medical CM Services including Pediatric Treatment Adherence	Υ	\$	418,164	14.2%			#DIV/0!									
	5.c. Field/In-Home Medical CM Services	Υ	\$	412,375	14.0%	_	2 22 4	#DIV/0!									
	5.d. Minority AIDS Initiative Medical CM		-		0.0%	\$	3,034							Х			х
6	Non-Medical Case Management (Benefits Counseling)		\$	61,504	2.1%	\$	40,000	#DIV/0!						x			x
7	Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals		\$	34,654	1.2%			#DIV/0!									
8	Mental Health Services	Υ	\$	441,683	15.0%			#DIV/0!									
	Psychosocial Support		\$	-		\$	-	#DIV/0!									
10	Medical Transportation Services		\$	80,487	2.7%			#DIV/0!									-
11	Substance Abuse Services - Outpatient Substance Abuse Services -	Υ	\$	188,815	6.4%			#DIV/0!									
12	Residential		\$	65,562	2.2%	l		#DIV/0!				1			1		
13	Housing Assistance		\$	24,015	0.8%			#DIV/0!									
	Child Care Services		\$	22,154	0.8%			#DIV/0!									
15	Emergency Financial Assistance		\$	22,543	0.8%			#DIV/0!									-
16	Medical Nutritional Therapy	Υ	\$	12,374	0.4%	\$	20,000	#DIV/0!				Х					х
17 18	Health Education Risk Reduction MAI Outreach		\$	-	0.0%			#DIV/0! #DIV/0!									+
19	Outreach Non-MAI		\$	-	0.0%	l		#DIV/0!						1			<del>                                     </del>
	Linguistic Services		\$		0.0%	\$	-	#DIV/0!									+
	Home and Community Based Health													1			<del>                                     </del>
21	Services		\$	-	0.0%		-	#DIV/0!									
	Home Health Care	Y	\$	-	0.0%		-	#DIV/0!				<u> </u>					₩
	Hospice	Y	\$	-	0.0%		-	#DIV/0!				-					-
	Legal Services		\$	-	0.0%		-	#DIV/0!		-		<del>                                     </del>	-	-	-	-	+
	Permanency Planning Referral for Health Care and		\$	-	0.0%		-	#DIV/0!					-		-	-	+
26	Support Services		\$	-	0.0%	\$	-	#DIV/0!				1					
27	Rehabilitation Services		\$	-	0.0%	\$	-	#DIV/0!									
28	Respite Care																
	ADAP	Υ															
	Early Intervention Services	Υ	\$	-	0.0%		-	#DIV/0!				<u> </u>					₩
GR	AND TOTAL DIRECT SERVICES			2,950,508	100.0%	\$	- 400 :=:	#DIV/0!				<u> </u>			<b>!</b>		₩
	Direct Services Target Recipient Admin		<b>\$</b>	<b>2,950,508</b> 347,119	Target 10.00%	\$	180,179	Target 0.00%				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
			D.	34/,119		I		0.00%									
	Recipient QM		\$	173,558	5.00%			0.00%									

\$ 347,119 \$ 173,558 \$ 3,471,185 \$ 3471,185 \$ 2,548,664 \$ 401,844 \$ 2,950,508 86.38% \$ 13.62% \$ 100.00% \$ 130,179 50,000 180,179 4.64% 1.78%

### **Child Care**

**NOTES: \*Outcome Data: 1.** Overall 67.5% of the client stated the question was not applicable. Of the 25 respondents answering yes or no, 32% (8 clients) stated child care was made available to them.

### Allocation and Utilization Data

Child Care

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$25,209	\$27,122	\$30,931	0.23%
\$ Spent	\$25,200	\$27,108	\$20,000	-0.21%
\$ Difference	\$9	\$14	\$10,931	
<b>Total Clients</b>	17	12	9	-0.47%
<b>Units of Service</b>	22,909	24,644	18,433	-0.20%
Cost per Encounter	\$47.46	\$51.05	\$43.76	-0.08%
<b>Cost per Client</b>	\$1,482.33	\$2,259.01	\$2,222.22	0.50%

### Sacramento

# Child Care Clients

Demographic	Ź	2021	2022		
Data	n	%	n	%	
Black	7	58.3%	5	55.6%	
White	2	16.7%	4	44.4%	
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Latino(a)	3	25.0%	2	22.2%	
Male	1	8.3%	2	22.2%	
Female	11	91.7%	7	77.8%	
Transgender	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

### Wait Lists 2022: None reported

### **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators** Child Care Linked to Care (w/in 30 days) In Medical Care 100% 33.3% Retained In Care 100% Virally Suppressed On Drug Therapy 9 100% Stably/Permanently Housed 100% 9 100% **Total Unduplicated Clients**

# Child Care Total Clients

2021

2022

2020

### FY22 Child Care Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Child care providers will comply with child care service standards*	100%	100%
Client with children under age 15, living in the home, will be made award of child care services** See Note 1 above	75%	32%
Clients surveyed, who requested child care services for medical or support service appointments, will report that referrals or financial assistance was made available	100%	Only one response which stated Not Applicable.

### <u>Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) –</u> Other Critical Need

### Allocation and Utilization Data Other Critical Need

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$72,199	\$82,111	\$66,449	-0.08%
\$ Spent	\$81,664	\$71,866	\$85,063	0.04%
\$ Difference	(\$9,465)	\$10,245	-\$18,614	
<b>Total Clients</b>	273	141	147	-0.46%
<b>Units of Service</b>	74,731	65,343	77,606	0.04%
Cost per Encounter	\$75.06	\$66.05	\$105.41	0.40%
<b>Cost per Client</b>	\$299.13	\$509.69	\$578.66	0.93%

Sacramento TGA and Yolo County

### **NOTES:**

### **EFA Other Critical Need Clients**


Demographic	2	2021	2022			
Data	n	%	n	%		
Black	23	16.3%	28	19.0%		
White	86	61.0%	111	75.5%		
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	1	0.7%	1	0.7%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	2	1.4%	1	0.7%		
Asian	3	2.1%	6	4.1%		
Latino(a)	26	18.4%	24	16.3%		
Male	106	75.2%	101	68.7%		
Female	31	22.0%	43	29.3%		
Transgender	4	2.8%	3	2.0%		

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Other Critical Need

4	80.00%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
136	92.52%	In Medical Care
48	32.65%	Retained In Care
121	82.31%	Virally Suppressed
146	99.32%	On Drug Therapy
130	88.44%	Stably/Permanently Housed
147	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

# EFA - Other Critical Need Total Clients



### FY22 Emergency Financial Assistance Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Providers will comply with applicable Emergency Financial Assistance service standards	100%	100%

### **Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals**

**NOTES:** Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals expended \$16,165 in Cares Act COVID Funding in FY20 and \$36,775 in FY21.

.

# **Allocation and Utilization Data** Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$15,087	\$53,326	\$17,447	0.16%
\$ Spent	\$34,836	\$51,460	\$19,045	-0.45%
\$ Difference	(\$19,749)	\$1,866	(\$1,598)	
<b>Total Clients</b>	390	405	265	-0.32%
<b>Units of Service</b>	18,813	51,460	19,516	0.04%
Cost per Encounter	\$35.91	\$53.03	\$44.39	0.24%
<b>Cost per Client</b>	\$89.32	\$127.06	\$71.87	-0.20%

### Sacramento and Yolo County

# Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals Total Clients 600 400 2020 2021 2022

# FB/HDM Service Category Demographic 2021 202

Demographic	2	021	2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	143	35.3%	90	34.0%
White	143	35.3%	162	61.1%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	5	1.2%	5	1.9%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	3	0.7%	2	0.8%
Asian	12	3.0%	6	2.3%
Latino(a)	99	24.4%	78	29.4%
Male	273	67.4%	211	79.6%
Female	120	29.6%	49	18.5%
Transgender	12	3.0%	5	1.9%

### Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators** Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals

12	75.00%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
248	<b>248 93.58%</b> In Medical Care	
110	<b>110 41.51%</b> Retained In Care	
222	83.77%	Virally Suppressed
251	<b>251 94.72%</b> On Drug Therapy	
206 77.74% Stably/Permanently House		Stably/Permanently Housed
265	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

### FY22 Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
100% of providers offering Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals will comply with Food and Nutrition service standards.	100%	96.6%
60% of clients receiving Food Bank/Home Delivered Meal services will report that these services have allowed them to better manage living with HIV/AIDS.	60%	100%
60% of clients receiving Food Bank/Home Delivered Meal services will report improved quality of life.	60%	100%
60% of clients receiving Food Bank/Home Delivered Meal services will report improved ability to remain in medical care.	60%	92.9%


### **Health Education and Risk Reduction**

<b>TA</b> 1	OTEC.	
IN	OIES:	

### Allocation and Utilization Data Health Education and Risk Reduction Services

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$29,048	\$31,037	\$36,634	0.26%
\$ Spent	\$29,211	\$26,237	\$36,585	0.25%
\$ Difference	(\$163)	\$4,800	\$49	
Total Clients	293	191	235	-0.20%
<b>Units of Service</b>	753	740	1,289	0.71%
Cost per Encounter	\$66.09	\$59.36	\$110.53	0.67%
Cost per Client	\$99.70	\$137.37	\$155.68	0.56%

### Sacramento



### **Health Education/Risk Reduction Clients**

Demographic Data	2	2021	2022	
	n	%	n	%
Black	45	23.6%	59	25.1%
White	76	39.8%	161	68.5%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	3	1.6%	7	3.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	3	1.6%	2	0.9%
Asian	10	5.2%	6	2.6%
Latino(a)	54	28.3%	73	31.1%
Male	156	81.7%	205	87.2%
Female	28	14.7%	24	10.2%
Transgender	7	3.7%	6	2.6%

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Health Education/Risk Reduction

28	65.12%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
217	92.34%	In Medical Care
<b>44 18.72%</b> Retained In Care		Retained In Care
146	62.13%	Virally Suppressed
214	91.06%	On Drug Therapy
145	61.70%	Stably/Permanently Housed
235	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

### FY22 Health Education and Risk Reduction Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Health Education and Risk Reduction (PCRS) providers will comply with Health Education and Risk Reduction service standards	100%	100%

# **Health Insurance and Cost-Sharing Assistance**

**NOTES:** 

# Allocation and Utilization Data Health Insurance and Cost-Sharing Assistance

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$15,628	\$14,360	\$20,539	0.31%
\$ Spent	\$7,803	\$9,583	\$10,231	0.31%
\$ Difference	\$7,825	\$4,777	\$10,308	
<b>Total Clients</b>	9	9	11	0.22%
<b>Units of Service</b>	7,094	8,711	9,322	0.31%
Cost per Encounter	\$339.25	\$416.63	\$365.41	0.08%
Cost per Client	\$866.98	\$1,064.73	\$930.12	0.07%

Sacramento TGA and Yolo

### Health Insurance and Cost-Sharing Assistance Clients


Demographic Data	2021		2022	
Demographic Data	n	%	n	%
Black	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
White	4	44.4%	11	100.0%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latino(a)	3	33.3%	3	27.3%
Male	7	77.8%	10	90.9%
Female	2	22.2%	1	9.1%
Transgender	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators** Health Insurance/Cost-Sharing Asst.

0	-	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
10	90.91%	In Medical Care
3	<b>3 27.27%</b> Retained In Care	
9	81.82%	Virally Suppressed
11	100.00%	On Drug Therapy
9	81.82%	Stably/Permanently Housed
11	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

## Health Insurance and Cost-Sharing Assistance Total Clients



### FY22 Health Insurance and Cost-Sharing Assistance Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance providers will comply with service standards	100%	95.7%
Referrals and linkages to services shall be documented	100%	100%
HIV+ clients who don't have an identified primary care provider will receive a referral to an appropriate physician/clinic	100%	95.7%
Clients receiving assistance will indicate payments had been processed/approved	100%	100%

### **Housing**

**NOTES:** Housing expenditures from Cares Act COVID Funding in FY20 were \$5,739.46 and \$18,323.55 in FY21.

### Allocation and Utilization Data Housing

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$26,977	\$56,517	\$37,201	0.38%
\$ Spent	\$16,326	\$42,370	\$25,261	0.55%
\$ Difference	\$10,651	\$14,146	\$11,940	
<b>Total Clients</b>	17	41	22	0.29%
Units of Service	1,018	30,111	16,032	14.75%
Cost per Encounter	\$229.94	\$596.76	\$328.07	0.43%
Cost per Client	96035	\$1,033.42	\$1,148.23	-0.77%

Sacramento TGA and Yolo Counties

### **Housing Clients**

Demographic	2021		2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	10	24.4%	9	40.9%
White	22	53.7%	12	54.5%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	1	4.5%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	1	2.4%	0	0.0%
Latino(a)	8	19.5%	5	22.7%
Male	33	80.5%	18	81.8%
Female	8	19.5%	1	4.5%
Transgender	0	0.0%	3	13.6%

Wait Lists 2022: Yes – The highest report was 15 clients in July 2022

# **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators**Housing

0	-	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
20	20 90.91% In Medical Care	
10	45.45%	Retained In Care
17	77.27%	Virally Suppressed
22	100.00%	On Drug Therapy
8	36.36%	Stably/Permanently Housed
22	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

# Housing Total Clients 50 40 30 20 17 2020 2021 2022

### **FY22 Housing Outcome Data**

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Providers will comply with applicable Housing Assistance service standards	100%	98.55
Clients surveyed who received housing assistance will report improvements in or maintenance of their general health status and/or quality of life	60%	88.9%

**Directives:** General Directives 1, 2, 3, and 5; Housing Service Directive 1

U

### <u>Medical Case Management including MAI and</u> Pediatric Treatment Adherence

Pediatric Treatment Adherence is a Sacramento County funded service

**NOTES:** In FY20, MCM expended \$12, 64.64 in direct service COVID funding and \$2,481.13 in FY21.

### **Allocation and Utilization Data** Medical Case Management

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$1,200,866	\$1,317,152	\$1,363,753	0.14%
\$ Spent	\$1,194,578	\$1,254,887	\$926,701	-0.22%
<b>\$ Difference</b>	\$6,288	\$62,265	\$437,052	
<b>Total Clients</b>	1,724	1547	1592	-0.08%
Units of Service	83,207	93,528	69,382	-0.17%
Cost per Encounter	\$64.37	\$67.62	\$46.25	-0.28%
Cost per Client	\$692.91	\$811.17	\$567.15	-0.18%

### Sacramento TGA and Yolo County

### **Medical Case Management Clients**


Demographic	2021		2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	414	26.8%	461	29.0%
White	643	41.6%	1030	64.7%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	17	1.1%	32	2.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	15	1.0%	13	0.8%
Asian	55	3.6%	56	3.5%
Latino(a)	403	26.1%	407	25.6%
Male	1192	77.1%	1232	77.4%
Female	313	20.2%	316	19.8%
Transgender	42	2.7%	44	2.8%

### Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Medical Case Management

41	66.13%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
1354	<b>85.05%</b> In Medical Care	
416	26.13%	Retained In Care
1161	72.93%	Virally Suppressed
1456	91.46%	On Drug Therapy
1225	76.95%	Stably/Permanently Housed
1592	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

# Medical Case Management Total Clients



### **FY22 Medical Case Management Outcome Data**

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
MCM clients will have a care plan developed based upon assessment	95%	94.75%
MCM clients will be assessed using an acuity scale	95%	94.5%
MCM clients surveyed who received MCM services will report adherence to their anti-retroviral drug treatment plans.	60%	94.1%
MCM clients who do not have an identified primary care provider at intake will receive a referral to an appropriate physician or clinic	100%	100%
<b>Pediatric</b> clients receiving treatment adherence services will adhere to medication program.	75%	90%


**Directives:** General Directives 1, 2, 3, 5; Medical Case Mgmt Service Directives: 1 and 2

### **Medical Nutritional Therapy**

### Allocation and Utilization Data Medical Nutritional Therapy

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$52,827	\$56,709	\$69,880	0.32%
\$ Spent	\$52,766	\$56,708	\$12,737	-0.76%
\$ Difference	\$61	\$0	\$57,143	
<b>Total Clients</b>	162	114	66	-0.59%
<b>Units of Service</b>	1,498	1,039	197	-0.87%
Cost per Encounter	\$135.64	\$145.78	\$153.46	0.13%
Cost per Client	\$325.72	\$497.44	\$192.99	-0.41%

### Sacramento



**NOTES:** The Nutrition provider experienced a staffing shortage during FY22 and was unable to provide Nutritionist services for a period of time. This contributed to a reduction in client served.

### **Medical Nutritional Therapy Clients**

Demographic	2	2021		2022
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	40	35.1%	27	40.9%
White	29	25.4%	34	51.5%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	1	1.5%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	1.5%
Asian	6	5.3%	3	4.5%
Latino(a)	39	34.2%	15	22.7%
Male	80	70.2%	51	77.3%
Female	33	28.9%	15	22.7%
Transgender	1	0.9%	0	0.0%

Wait Lists 2022: Yes, due to staffing shortage.

# **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators**Medical Nutritional Therapy

1	50.00%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
60	90.91%	In Medical Care
22	33.33%	Retained In Care
57	86.36%	Virally Suppressed
61	92.42%	On Drug Therapy
54	81.82%	Stably/Permanently Housed
66	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

### FY22 Medical Nutritional Therapy Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Medical Nutritional Therapy providers will comply with Medical Nutritional Therapy service standards	100%	100%
Clients receiving medical nutritional therapy will have an individualized nutritional plan developed within 60 days of assessment by the licensed registered dietitian.	100%	100%

### **Medical Transportation Services**

**NOTES:** In FY21, Transportation expended \$1,400 in direct service COVID funding.

### Allocation and Utilization Data Medical Transportation

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$186,367	\$204,769	\$202,821	0.09%
\$ Spent	\$153,482	\$189,146	\$232,012	0.51%
\$ Difference	\$32,885	\$15,623	(\$29,191)	
<b>Total Clients</b>	426	468	525	0.23%
<b>Units of Service</b>	105,413	131,502	144,348	0.37%
Cost per Encounter	\$39.24	\$48.38	\$47.88	0.22%
Cost per Client	\$360.29	\$404.16	\$441.93	0.23%


### Sacramento TGA and Yolo County

### **Medical Transportation Clients**

Demographic Data	2021		2022	
	n	%	n	%
Black	127	27.1%	143	27.2%
White	211	45.1%	354	67.4%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	3	0.6%	10	1.9%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	5	1.1%	5	1.0%
Asian	7	1.5%	13	2.5%
Latino(a)	115	24.6%	124	23.6%
Male	343	73.3%	379	72.2%
Female	113	24.1%	136	25.9%
Transgender	12	2.6%	10	1.9%

### Wait Lists 2022: None reported

### **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Medical Transportation** Linked to Care (w/in 30 12 54.55% days) 475 90.48% In Medical Care 171 32.57% Retained In Care Virally Suppressed 416 79.24% On Drug Therapy 505 96.19% 419 79.81% Stably/Permanently Housed **Total Unduplicated Clients** 525 100.00%



### FY22 Medical Transportation Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Medical Transportation service providers will comply with Medical Transportation service standards	100%	98.5%
Clients surveyed who showed evidence of need for medical transportation services will received medical transportation to HIV related care appointments	75%	75%

**Directives:** General Directives 1, 2, 3, and 5; Medical Transportation Service Directive 1

### **Mental Health**

**NOTES:** El Dorado, Placer and Yolo Counties providers reimburse for services to non-Ryan White funded providers who serve their clients. However no clients received mental health services in the rural counties in FY22.

# Allocation and Utilization Data Mental Health

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$522,498	\$608,229	\$479,036	-0.08%
\$ Spent	\$440,260	\$558,936	\$550,577	0.25%
<b>\$ Difference</b>	\$82,238	\$49,293	(\$71,541)	
<b>Total Clients</b>	696	433	501	-0.28%
<b>Units of Service</b>	5,216	8,178	9,690	0.86%
Cost per Encounter	\$113.00	\$143.46	\$122.92	0.09%
Cost per Client	\$632.56	\$1,290.85	\$1,098.96	0.74%

### Sacramento TGA

### **Mental Health Clients**

Demographic	2021		2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	117	27.0%	134	26.7%
White	215	49.7%	344	68.7%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	3	0.7%	7	1.4%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	3	0.7%	5	1.0%
Asian	10	2.3%	11	2.2%
Latino(a)	85	19.6%	107	21.4%
Male	324	74.8%	380	75.8%
Female	100	23.1%	110	22.0%
Transgender	9	2.1%	11	2.2%

Wait Lists 2022: Yes – Therapy 15-18 people reported in Oct. 2022. Psychiatry 15-18 people reported in Nov 2022. Weeks: 2-6 depending on service.

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Mental Health

10	90.91%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
448	89.42%	In Medical Care
170	33.93%	Retained In Care
417	83.23%	Virally Suppressed
463	92.42%	On Drug Therapy
408	81.44%	Stably/Permanently Housed
501	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

# Mental Health Total Clients 800 700 696 600 500 433

2021

2022

2020

### FY22 Mental Health Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Mental health providers will comply with Mental Health service standards.	100%	95.6%
Clients who do not have an identified primary care provider at intake will receive a referral to an appropriate physician or clinic.	100%	100%
Clients who receive Mental Health services will report a decrease in symptoms that initiated referral into mental health services.	60%	90%
Clients surveyed who received mental health counseling will report improved functionality.	60%	100%

### **Non-Medical Case Management Services**

**NOTES:** \*1 client missing in FY21: Client Demographics. Outcome Data: Benefits and Enrollment workers were not completing care plans during FY22 resulting in 14.7% of charts reviewed complying with service standards.

# **Allocation and Utilization Data Case Management Non-Medical**

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$101,540	\$136,084	\$128,458	0.27%
\$ Spent	\$82,797	\$133,212	\$118,958	0.44%
\$ Difference	\$18,743	\$2,872	\$9,500	
<b>Total Clients</b>	752	1107	1158	0.54%
<b>Units of Service</b>	2,553	5,851	6,613	1.59%
Cost per Encounter	\$70.59	\$119.28	\$41.64	-0.41%
<b>Cost per Client</b>	\$100.10	\$120.34	\$102.73	0.03%

### Sacramento

	Non-Medical Case Management Total Clients
1200 —	
1100	1107
000	
900	
800	752
700	<b>▼</b>

2021

2022

2020

### **Case Management Non-Medical Clients**

Demographic	2021		2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	266	24.0%	321	27.7%
White	451	40.7%	762	65.8%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	14	1.3%	16	1.4%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	11	1.0%	5	0.4%
Asian	42	3.8%	54	4.7%
Latino(a)	322	29.1%	309	26.7%
Male	890	80.4%	904	78.1%
Female	186	16.8%	224	19.3%
Transgender	30	2.7%	30	2.6%

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators**Case Management Non-Medical

Linked to Care (w/in 30 70.27% 26 days) 1012 87.39% In Medical Care 308 26.60% Retained In Care 894 77.20% Virally Suppressed 90.76% On Drug Therapy 1051 Stably/Permanently Housed 888 76.68% 1158 100.00% **Total Unduplicated Clients** 

### FY22 Case Management Non-Medical Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Benefits and Enrollment Case Management charts reviewed will comply with Case Management (non-medical) service standards	90%	14.7%
People requesting Benefits and Enrollment case management will receive advice and assistance in obtaining needed services	95%	100%
Clients receiving services will be referred to all appropriate (non-Ryan White) entitlement programs to maximize benefits	95%	100%
Clients who do not have an identified primary care provider at intake will receive a referral to an appropriate physician or clinic	100%	100%
Clients surveyed who received Case Management (non-medical) services will report improved quality of life	60%	83.3%

### **Oral Health Care**

**NOTES:** El Dorado, Placer and Yolo Counties providers reimburse for services to non-Ryan White funded providers who serve their clients.

### Allocation and Utilization Data Oral Health

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$470,670	\$628,695	\$638,716	0.36%
\$ Spent	\$336,532	\$515,487	\$530,695	0.58%
\$ Difference	\$134,138	\$113,208	\$108,021	
<b>Total Clients</b>	481	613	634	0.32%
<b>Units of Service</b>	111,829	126,310	159,296	0.42%
Cost per Encounter	\$279.05	\$442.69	\$313.65	0.12%
<b>Cost per Client</b>	\$699.65	\$840.93	\$837.06	0.20%

### Sacramento TGA and Yolo Counties

### Oral Health Clients


Demographic	2021		2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	156	25.4%	156	24.6%
White	271	44.2%	440	69.4%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	7	1.1%	10	1.6%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	4	0.7%	5	0.8%
Asian	20	3.3%	23	3.6%
Latino(a)	155	25.3%	164	25.9%
Male	475	77.5%	513	80.9%
Female	116	18.9%	104	16.4%
Transgender	22	3.6%	17	2.7%

**Wait Lists 2022:** 30-60 days in Jan. 2023

# **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators**Oral Health Care

8	88.89%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
576	90.85%	In Medical Care
202	31.86%	Retained In Care
532	83.91%	Virally Suppressed
580	91.48%	On Drug Therapy
499	78.71%	Stably/Permanently Housed
634	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

# Oral Health Total Clients



### FY22 Oral Health Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Providers will comply with dental care service standards	100%	100%
Clients receiving specialty dental services will receive appropriate dental care as determined by County authorization review	100%	100%
Clients receiving Oral Health Care will report improved oral health through self-report.	60%	100%

12

# Outpatient Ambulatory Medical Care including Lab Visits

**NOTES:** El Dorado, Placer and Yolo Counties providers reimburse for services to non-Ryan White funded providers who serve their clients.

National Viral Suppression Rate: https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/statistics/overview/in-us/viral-suppression.html

### Allocation and Utilization Data Ambulatory Care

Ambulatory Care							
Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change			
Allocation	\$934,475	\$935,603	\$841,016	-0.10%			
\$ Spent	\$983,826	\$852,313	\$829,922	-0.16%			
\$ Difference	-\$49,351	\$83,289	\$11,094				
<b>Total Clients</b>	1,758	1754	1794	0.02%			
<b>Units of Service</b>	55,896	42,316	67,037	0.20%			
Cost per Encounter	\$152.96	\$202.26	\$114.39	-0.25%			
Cost per Client	\$559.63	\$485.93	\$462.61	-0.17%			

### **Sacramento TGA and Yolo Counties**

### **Outpatient Ambulatory Care and Labs**

Dh.	2021		2022	
Demographic Data	n	%	n	%
Black	480	27.4%	502	28.0%
White	741	42.2%	1169	65.2%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	15	0.9%	32	1.8%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	16	0.9%	13	0.7%
Asian	67	3.8%	78	4.3%
Latino(a)	435	24.8%	460	25.6%
Male	1346	76.7%	1391	77.5%
Female	362	20.6%	356	19.8%
Transgender	46	2.6%	47	2.6%


### Wait Lists 2022: Yes

100.00%

1794

### **FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Outpatient Ambulatory Care** Linked to Care (w/in 30 64.71% 44 days) 85.73% In Medical Care 1538 428 23.86% Retained In Care 72.85% 1307 Virally Suppressed 1585 88.35% On Drug Therapy 1275 71.07% Stably/Permanently Housed

**Total Unduplicated Clients** 



### **FY22 Ambulatory Care - Outcome Data**

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
100% of primary care services offered will meet PHS guidelines	100%	100%
Number/Percentage of persons with HIV Viral Load Suppression will exceed National standards National Rate is 65%	95%	1307/1794 72.85%
WICY Ambulatory Care Expenditures meet standard established by CDC	18.3%	28.12%

**Directives:** General Directives 1, 2, and 5

**NOTES:** Figures and Outcomes below are for all Outreach Service clients (both MAI and Non-MAI clients).

### **Outreach Services**

Includes MAI and Non-MAI Outreach Services

### Allocation and Utilization Data Outreach Services

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$101,383	\$127,147	\$61,075	-0.40%
\$ Spent	\$83,601	\$40,329	\$39,232	-0.53%
\$ Difference	\$17,782	\$86,818	\$21,843	
<b>Total Clients</b>	962	379	388	-0.60%
<b>Units of Service</b>	1,928	615	1,049	-0.46%
Cost per Encounter	\$59.33	\$28.66	\$56.53	-0.05%
Cost per Client	\$86.90	\$106.41	\$101.11	0.16%

Sacramento


### **Outreach Services Clients**

Demographic	2021		2022	
Data	n	%	n	%
Black	115	30.3%	136	35.1%
White	148	39.1%	232	59.8%
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	7	1.8%	7	1.8%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	3	0.8%	4	1.0%
Asian	17	4.5%	9	2.3%
Latino(a)	89	23.5%	106	27.3%
Male	306	80.7%	301	77.6%
Female	63	16.6%	75	19.3%
Transgender	10	2.6%	12	3.1%

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Outreach Services

12	60.00%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
339	87.37%	In Medical Care
106	27.32%	Retained In Care
273	70.36%	Virally Suppressed
339	87.37%	On Drug Therapy
262	67.53%	Stably/Permanently Housed
388	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients



### FY22 Outreach Services Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Outreach, both MAI and Non-MAI, providers will comply with Outreach service standards	100%	97.9%
All referrals and linkages to services for HIV+ clients receiving Outreach services shall be documented	100%	100%
HIV+ clients who do not have an identified primary care provider at initial contact will receive a referral to an appropriate physician or clinic	100%	100%

**Directives:** General Directives 1, 2, 3, and 5

### **Substance Abuse - Outpatient**

### Allocation and Utilization Data Substance Abuse - Outpatient

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$200,981	\$198,631	\$201,661	0.00%
\$ Spent	\$200,981	\$185,204	\$159,665	-0.21%
<b>\$ Difference</b>	\$0	\$13,427	\$41,996	
<b>Total Clients</b>	220	152	146	-0.34%
<b>Units of Service</b>	3,055	3,795	5,899	0.93%
Cost per Encounter	\$74.49	\$68.65	\$80.64	0.08%
<b>Cost per Client</b>	\$913.55	\$1,218.45	\$1,093.59	0.20%

Sacramento

### **NOTES:**


### **Outpatient Substance Abuse Clients**

Demographic	2	2021	2022			
Data	n	%	n	%		
Black	28	18.4%	36	24.7%		
White	81	53.3%	100	68.5%		
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	3	2.0%	5	3.4%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1	0.7%	0	0.0%		
Asian	3	2.0%	5	3.4%		
Latino(a)	36	23.7%	39	26.7%		
Male	127	83.6%	127	87.0%		
Female	21	13.8%	17	11.6%		
Transgender	4	2.6%	2	1.4%		

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Outpatient Substance Abuse

2	40.00%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
131	89.73%	In Medical Care
52	35.62%	Retained In Care
108	73.97%	Virally Suppressed
139	95.21%	On Drug Therapy
93	63.70%	Stably/Permanently Housed
146	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients



### **FY22 Outpatient Substance Abuse Outcome Data** Outcome Actual Performance Indicator Goal Outcome Substance abuse providers will deliver services according to Standards 100% 100% of Care Clients who do not have an identified primary care provider will 100% 100% receive a referral upon completion of substance abuse treatment Clients will have a current care plan in their files 80% 100% Clients surveyed who received outpatient substance abuse services will 60% 100% reduce risk behaviors for substance use as measured by self-report Clients surveyed who received outpatient substance abuse services will No reduce risk behaviors for transmission of HIV and other communicable 60% responses diseases as measured by self-report

### **Substance Abuse - Residential**

NOTES:

### Allocation and Utilization Data Substance Abuse Residential

Year	2020	2021	2022	3 yr % Change
Allocation	\$54,944	\$54,302	\$63,408	0.15%
\$ Spent	\$11,642	\$25,187	\$58,408	4.02%
\$ Difference	\$43,302	\$29,115	\$5,000	
<b>Total Clients</b>	6	9	19	2.17%
Units of Service	1,398	2,460	9,672	5.92%
Cost per Encounter	\$200.73	\$434.26	\$149.76	-0.25%
Cost per Client	\$1,940.40	\$2,798.56	\$3,074.10	0.58%

### Sacramento TGA

### **Residential Substance Abuse Clients**


Demographic	2	2021	2022			
Data	n	%	n	%		
Black	2	22.2%	2	10.5%		
White	4	44.4%	15	78.9%		
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	2	10.5%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Latino(a)	3	33.3%	6	31.6%		
Male	7	77.8%	18	94.7%		
Female	1	11.1%	1	5.3%		
Transgender	1	11.1%	0	0.0%		

Wait Lists 2022: None reported

# FY22 NHAS Performance Indicators Residential Substance Abuse

0	0.00%	Linked to Care (w/in 30 days)
17	89.47%	In Medical Care
6	31.58%	Retained In Care
15	78.95%	Virally Suppressed
19	100.00%	On Drug Therapy
9	47.37%	Stably/Permanently Housed
19	100.00%	Total Unduplicated Clients

## Substance Abuse - Residential Total Clients



### FY22 Residential Substance Abuse Services Outcome Data

Performance Indicator	Outcome Goal	Actual Outcome
Residential substance abuse providers will deliver services according to Standards of Care	100%	100%
Clients entering residential substance abuse treatment will complete residential treatment program	25%	60%
Clients who do not have an identified primary care provider will receive a referral to an appropriate physician or clinic upon completion of substance abuse treatment	100%	100%
Clients will have a current care plan in their files	80%	100%

# Sacramento TGA Three Year Cost Per Client Per Service and Encounter Flscal Years 2020, 2021 and 2022

			2020				2021		2022				
Service Category	UDC	Cost Per Client	Encounters	Cost per Encounter	UDC	Cost Per Client	Encounters	Cost per Encounter	UDC	Cost Per Client	Encounters	Cost per Encounter	
Ambulatory Care	1,758	\$559.63	6,432	\$152.96	1,754	\$741.83	6,433	\$202.26	1,794	\$462.61	7,255	\$114.39	
Medical Case Mgmt	1,724	\$692.91	18,558	\$64.37	1,547	\$811.17	18,558	\$67.62	1,592	\$567.15	19,522	\$46.25	
Child Care	17	\$1,482.33	531	\$47.46	12	\$2,259.01	531	\$51.05	9	\$2,222.22	457	\$43.76	
Emergency Financial Assistance	273	\$299.13	1,088	\$75.06	141	\$509.69	1,088	\$66.05	147	\$578.66	807	\$105.41	
Food Bank	390	\$89.32	970	\$35.91	405	\$127.01	970	\$53.03	265	\$71.87	429	\$44.39	
Health Education/ Risk Reduction	293	\$99.70	442	\$66.09	191	\$137.37	442	\$59.36	235	\$155.68	331	\$110.53	
Health Insurance Premium Payment and Cost-Sharing	9	\$866.98	23	\$339.25	9	\$1,064.73	23	\$416.63	11	\$930.12	28	\$365.40	
Housing Services	17	\$960.35	71	\$229.94	41	\$1,033.42	71	\$596.76	22	\$1,148.23	77	\$328.07	
Medical Nutrition Therapy	162	\$325.72	389	\$135.65	114	\$497.44	389	\$145.78	66	\$192.99	83	\$153.46	
Medical Transporation	426	\$360.29	3,911	\$39.24	468	\$404.29	3,911	\$48.38	525	\$441.93	4,846	\$47.88	
Mental Health	696	\$632.56	3,896	\$113.00	433	\$1,290.85	3,896	\$143.46	501	\$1,098.96	4,479	\$122.92	
Oral Health	481	\$699.65	1,206	\$279.05	613	\$870.93	1,206	\$442.69	634	\$837.06	1,692	\$313.65	
Outreach Services	962	\$86.90	1,409	\$59.33	379	\$106.41	1,407	\$28.66	388	\$101.11	694	\$56.53	
Substance Abuse Residential	6	\$1,940.40	58	\$200.73	9	\$2,798.56	58	\$434.26	19	\$3,074.10	390	\$149.76	
Substance Abuse Outpatient	220	\$913.55	2,698	\$74.49	152	\$1,218.45	2,698	\$68.65	146	\$1,093.59	1,980	\$80.64	
Case Mgmt (Non-Medical)	752	\$110.10	1,173	\$70.58	1,107	\$126.39	1,173	\$119.28	1,158	\$102.73	2,857	\$41.64	

	<b>FY20</b>					<b>FY21</b>					<b>FY22</b>				
Sacramento	UDC	Unit of Services (UOS)	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	Unit of Services (UOS)	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	Unit of Services (UOS)	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client
Ambulatory Care	1,757	53,991.52	\$981,787.90	\$18.18	\$558.79	1,754	41,913.06	\$1,300,729	\$31.03	\$741.58	1,762	67,036.60	\$829,922.16	\$12.38	\$471.01
Medical Case Management	1,550	64,308.83	\$865,402.19	\$13.46	\$558.32	1,383	73,322.83	\$928,524	\$12.66	\$671.38	1,431	69,382.08	\$902,906.30	\$13.01	\$630.96
Case Mgmt - Non-Medical	752	2,553.00	\$82,796.77	\$32.43	\$110.10	1,106	5,845.00	\$139,343	\$23.84	\$125.99	1,127	6,612.99	\$118,957.70	\$17.99	\$105.55
Child Care Services	17	22,908.75	\$25,199.63	\$1.10	\$1,482.33	12	24,643.75	\$27,108	\$1.10	\$2,259.01	9	18,433.25	\$19,999.98	\$1.08	\$2,222.22
Emergency Financial Assistance	105	10,114.31	\$11,125.74	\$1.10	\$105.96	55	18,990.51	\$20,890	\$1.10	\$379.81	38	77,606.00	\$85,062.82	\$1.10	\$2,238.50
Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals	351	30,630.50	\$30,630.50	\$1.00	\$87.27	343	43,211.00	\$43,211	\$1.00	\$125.98	199	19,515.68	\$19,044.68	\$0.98	\$95.70
Health Education & Risk Reduction	293	752.66	\$29,211.27	\$38.81	\$99.70	191	740.00	\$26,237	\$35.46	\$137.37	235	1,289.00	\$36,585.47	\$28.38	\$155.68
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance	3	3,056.23	\$3,361.85	\$1.10	\$1,120.62	4	4,082.34	\$4,491	\$1.10	\$1,122.64	5	9,322.42	\$10,231.34	\$1.10	\$2,046.27
Housing Services	15	13,987.36	\$14,555.95	\$1.04	\$970.40	36	26,280.92	\$38,540	\$1.47	\$1,070.56	22	16,032.29	\$25,261.05	\$1.58	\$1,148.23
Medical Nutrition	162	1,498.00	\$52,765.88	\$35.22	\$325.72	114	1,039.00	\$56,708	\$54.58	\$497.44	66	197.00	\$12,737.36	\$64.66	\$192.99
Medical Transportation	318	92,411.16	\$139,255.26	\$1.51	\$437.91	356	110,459.27	\$166,287	\$1.51	\$467.10	383	144,348.08	\$232,011.61	\$1.61	\$605.77
Mental Health Services	696	5,215.10	\$440,260.26	\$84.42	\$632.56	433	8,178.25	\$558,936	\$68.34	\$1,290.85	489	9,689.50	\$550,577.41	\$56.82	\$1,125.93
Oral Health Care	470	92,215.10	\$314,956.79	\$3.42	\$670.12	602	100,185.10	\$486,971	\$4.86	\$808.92	626	159,296.00	\$530,694.82	\$3.33	\$847.76
Outreach Services	962	1,921.00	\$83,601.06	\$43.52	\$86.90	379	615.00	\$40,329	\$65.58	\$106.41	388	1,049.00	\$39,232.05	\$37.40	\$101.11
Substance Abuse - Residential*	6	1,398.00	\$11,642.40	\$8.33	\$1,940.40	9	2,460.00	\$25,187	\$10.24	\$2,798.56	19	9,672.00	\$58,407.90	\$6.04	\$3,074.10
Substance Abuse - Outpatient	220	3,054.75	\$200,980.99	\$65.79	\$913.55	152	3,795.05	\$185,204	\$48.80	\$1,218.45	146	5,898.50	\$159,664.80	\$27.07	\$1,093.59
Total UDC	2,328	400,016.27	\$3,287,534.44	\$8.22	\$1,412.17	2,294	465,761.08	\$4,048,696	\$8.69	\$1,764.91	2,196	615,380.39	\$3,631,297.45	\$5.90	\$1,653.60

	<b>FY20</b>					<b>FY21</b>					<b>FY22</b>				
El Dorado	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client
Ambulatory Care	3	689.96	\$758.96	\$1.10	\$252.99										
Medical Case Mgmt	62	5,616.00	\$137,927.00	\$24.56	\$2,224.63	59	7,330.00	\$139,997	\$19.10	\$2,372.83	60	9,389.00	\$130,795.82	\$13.93	\$2,179.93
Emergency Financial Assistance	54	22,075.05	\$24,282.55	\$1.10	\$449.68	28	9,993.82	\$10,993	\$1.10	\$392.61	52	27,040.44	\$29,744.48	\$1.10	\$572.01
Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals (COVID funding)						15	2,000.00	\$2,000	\$1.00	\$133.33					
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance	4	2,856.93	\$3,142.62	\$1.10	\$785.66	4	4,545.27	\$5,000	\$1.10	\$1,249.95	2	897.82	\$987.60	\$1.10	\$493.80
Housing Services (COVID funding)	2	1,770.00	\$1,770.00	\$1.00	\$885.00	4	2,830.00	\$2,830	\$1.00	\$707.50					
Medical Transportation	40	2,800.00	\$3,080.00	\$1.10	\$77.00	22	2,050.00	\$2,255	\$1.10	\$102.50	47	8,440.00	\$9,284.00	\$1.10	\$197.53
Oral Health Care	8	12,322.00	\$13,554.20	\$1.10	\$1,694.28	9	22,764.00	\$25,040	\$1.10	\$2,782.27	6	4,627.20	\$5,089.92	\$1.10	\$848.32
Totals	65	48,129.94	\$184,515.32	\$3.83	\$2,838.70	59	51,513.09	\$188,115	\$3.65	\$3,188.40	61	50,394.46	\$175,901.83	\$3.49	\$2,883.64

	FY20					<b>FY21</b>					<b>FY22</b>				
Placer	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client
Ambulatory Care	1	1,163.00	\$1,279.30	\$1.10	\$1,279.30	1	403.00	\$443	\$1.10	\$443.30					
Medical Case Mgmt	64	11,846.00	\$123,784.00	\$10.45	\$1,934.13	54	10,861.00	\$122,189	\$11.25	\$2,262.76	62	13,065.00	\$136,234.17	\$10.43	\$2,197.33
Emergency Financial Assistance	62	37,138.14	\$40,851.95	\$1.10	\$658.90	57	36,244.65	\$39,869	\$1.10	\$699.46	55	39,369.27	\$43,070.83	\$1.09	\$783.11
Food Bank/home Delivered Meals (COVID funding)	8	1,450.00	\$1,450.00	\$1.00	\$181.25	3	550.00	\$550	\$1.00	\$183.33					
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance	2	1,180.34	\$1,298.37	\$1.10	\$649.19	1	83.78	\$92	\$1.10	\$92.16	4	124.72	\$113.87	\$0.91	\$28.47
Housing (COVID funding)						1	1,000.00	\$1,000	\$1.00	\$1,000.00					
Medical Transportation	55	9,523.00	\$10,475.30	\$1.10	\$190.46	55	16,716.00	\$18,388	\$1.10	\$334.32	55	17,402.00	\$19,142.20	\$1.10	\$348.04
Oral Health Care	3	7,292.00	\$8,021.20	\$1.10	\$2,673.73	1	1,151.00	\$1,266	\$1.10	\$1,266.10	1	2,300.00	\$2,530.00	\$1.10	\$2,530.00
Totals	73	69,592.48	\$187,160.13	\$2.69	\$2,563.84	70	67,009.43	\$183,797	\$2.74	\$2,625.67	65	72,260.99	\$201,091.07	\$2.78	\$3,093.71

	<b>FY20</b>					<b>FY21</b>					<b>FY22</b>				
Yolo	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client	UDC	UOS	Expenditures	Average Cost per UOS	Cost Per Client
Ambulatory Care															
Medical Case Mgmt	68	2,187.00	\$67,464.65	\$30.85	\$992.13	39	2,014.00	\$64,177	\$31.87	\$1,645.56	55	2,430.00	\$79,169.78	\$32.58	\$1,439.45
Emergency Financial Assistance	52	5,403.54	\$5,403.54	\$1.00	\$103.91	1	114.00	\$114	\$1.00	\$114.00	3	680.16	\$680.16	\$1.00	\$226.72
Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals	33	2,755.40	\$2,755.40	\$1.00	\$83.50	45	5,698.72	\$5,699	\$1.00	\$126.64	35	5,995.68	\$5,995.68	\$1.00	\$171.31
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance															
Housing															
Medical Transportation	16	671.40	\$671.40	\$1.00	\$41.96	39	2,276.69	\$2,277	\$1.00	\$125.00	31	2,124.16	\$2,124.16	\$1.00	\$125.00
Oral Health						1	2,210.00	\$2,210	\$1.00	\$2,210.00	1	445.00	\$445.00	\$1.00	\$445.00
Total	68	11,017.34	\$76,295.00	\$6.92	\$1,121.99	64	12,313.41	\$74,476	\$6.05	\$1,163.69	55	11,675.00	\$88,414.78	\$7.57	\$1,607.54

### ALL PROVIDERS

Service Category	All Providers UDC	Rural Providers UDC	Sac UDC	All Providers UOS	Rural Provider UOS	Sac UOS	All Providers Expenditures	Rural Provider Expenditures	Sac Expenditures
Ambulatory Care	1,932	#REF!	#REF!	49,834	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 1,025,731.61	#REF!	#REF!
Medical Case Mgmt	1,152	#REF!	#REF!	97,309	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 1,125,687.25	#REF!	#REF!
Case Mgmt (Non-Medical)	980		980	3,776		3776	\$ 159,572.01		\$ 159,572.01
Child Care	37		37	32,445		32445	\$ 35,689.50		\$ 35,689.50
Emergency Financial Assistance	241	#REF!	#REF!	67,517	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 73,755.19	#REF!	#REF!
Food Bank	518	#REF!	#REF!	65,476	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 65,475.67	#REF!	#REF!
Health Education/ Risk Reduction	142		142	387		387	\$ 42,160.44		\$ 42,160.44
Health Insurance Premium Payment and Cost-Sharing	33	#REF!	#REF!	20,265	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 22,250.43	#REF!	#REF!
Housing Services	143	#REF!	#REF!	663,873	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 730,255.52	#REF!	#REF!
Medical Nutritional Therapy	364		364	15,129		15129	\$ 43,741.38		\$ 43,741.38
Medical Transporation	563	#REF!	#REF!	162,512	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 206,930.91	#REF!	#REF!
Mental Health	738	#REF!	#REF!	6,450	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 488,839.64	#REF!	#REF!
Oral Health	553	#REF!	#REF!	127,888	#REF!	#REF!	\$ 615,472.04	#REF!	#REF!
Outreach Services	297		297	3,954		3954	\$ 162,692.93		\$ 162,692.93
Substance Abuse Residential	62		62	263,584		263584	\$ 334,679.04		\$ 334,679.04
Substance Abuse Outpatient	310		310	5,532		5532	\$ 223,184.61		\$ 223,184.61

All Providers Encounters	Rural Provider Encounters	Sac Encounters
6,764	29	6735
15,190	2847	12343
1,619		1619
170		170
473		473
1,000	274	726
195		195
55	18	37
1,166	41	1125
749		749
3,303	315	2988
3,764	#REF!	#REF!
3,863	29	3834
661		661
174		174
2,478	_	2478